



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/689,747 | 10/22/2003 | Han-Sub Park | 1349.1304 | 4350 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 05/03/2007 | | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | EXAMINER CLEARY, THOMAS J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2111 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/03/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/689,747 | PARK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thomas J. Cleary | 2111 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Cleary - USPTO. (3) _____

(2) Gregory Harper - 55,248. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner telephoned Applicant to inform him that the period for reply to the previous Office Action had expired and to request confirmation that no response had been filed. Applicant indicated that a response was filed on 29 December 2006 and a return receipt postcard indicating reception by the Office had been received. The Examiner later left a message for Applicant indicating that a Request for Withdrawal of Holding of Abandonment should be filed in accordance with MPEP 711.03(c)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.8(b), and a Petition for Withdrawal from Abandonment would not be necessary. The Examiner further indicated that a copy of the previous submission and a copy of the return receipt postcard should be submitted. The Examiner requested that a courtesy copy of the Request and supporting documentation be faxed directly to him. The courtesy copy was received 26 April 2007.